

Composite Operators and Topological Contributions in Gauge Theory

Jungjai Lee ¹

Department of Physics, DaeJin University, PoCheon, GyeongGi 487-711, Korea

Yeong Deok Han ²

Department of Physics, Woosuk University, Hujeong, Samrye, Wanju, Cheonbuk 565-701, Korea

Abstract

In D -dimensional gauge theory with a kinetic term based on the p -form tensor gauge field, we introduce a gauge invariant operator associated with the composite formed from a electric $(p-1)$ -brane and a magnetic $(q-1)$ -brane in $D = p+q+1$ spacetime dimensions. By evaluating the partition function for this operator, we show that the expectation value of this operator gives rise to the topological contributions identical to those in gauge theory with a topological Chern-Simons BF term.

¹E-mail : jjlee@road.daejin.ac.kr

² E-mail : ydhan@core.woosuk.ac.kr

The exotic statistics, anyons and fermion-boson transmutation for the composite state of a point charge and a magnetic vortex has been discussed by Wilczek[1]. In $(2 + 1)$ dimensional spacetime, the anyons have a well-known physical realization where magnetic flux tubes are attached to charged particles and the Aharonov-Bohm phase resulting from adiabatic transport of the composites give them fractional exchange statistics. It was generalized to the fact that the composite of a closed Nambu charged string and a point vortex presented the unusual statistics in $(3+1)$ dimensions[2].

Also, Polyakov showed the fermion-boson transmutation in $(2+1)$ dimensions by investigating the small momentum behavior of a scalar field interacting with topological Chern-simons term[3]. This Chern-Simons mechanism of statistical transmutation was shown to hold for string-like object interacting with topological BF term in $(3+1)$ dimensions[4] [5]. The topological quantum field theory which gives the appropriate generalization to higher dimensions has been studied[6]. Chern-Simons theory gives the representations of linking numbers of curves in 3 dimensions, BF theories provide the path integral representations of the linking and intersection numbers of generic surfaces in D dimensions[5] [6].

In these statistical phenomena like fermion-boson transmutation, even though the topological term (Chern-Simons term or BF term in higher dimensions) plays an essential role, such topological contributions may arise from the gauge theory with the kinetic term as electromagnetism[7]. In Wilczek's work[1], if two composites of a charged particle and a point vortex are interchanged, an additional phase factor appears in all gauge invariant observables, this is because each composite should be covariantly transported in the gauge potential of the other. So the composites have the unusual statistics.

Recently there has increasingly been the theoretical evidence for the extended

objects(string, membrane,..., p -brane etc.) as the fundamental constituents of the universe[8]. In this paper, in order to see if the topological contributions arise from the higher dimensional gauge theories with the minimal Maxwell kinetic term, we will apply Polyakov's path integral arguments[3] to the composite of electric $(p-1)$ -brane and magnetic $(q-1)$ -brane in $D(=p+q+1)$ spacetime dimensions. The application to $(2+1)$ or $(3+1)$ dimensional gauge theory showed that the expectation value of the composite operators involves some topological contributions associated with link invariants [7] [9].

We start by introducing an gauge invariant operator associated with the composite of an electric $(p-1)$ -brane and a magnetic $(q-1)$ -brane in D space-time dimensions

$$X(W_q; W_p) = \exp(-i \int_{W_q} *(F_{p+1})) \exp(i \int_{W_p} A_p). \quad (1)$$

This operator depend on the p -dimensional world volume W_p and q -dimensional world volume W_q in D spacetime dimensions. Here A_p is a p -form gauge field which couples to electric $(p-1)$ -brane, and F_{p+1} is the corresponding $(p+1)$ -form field strength. The operator $X(W_q; W_p)$ should be called the extended 't Hooft-Wilson operator. In fact, the first factor is the covariant version of the 't Hooft operator originated from the closed hyper-path of $(q-1)$ -brane, thus it should be satisfied that $D-p-1=q$, because $*(F_{p+1})$ is $(D-p-1)$ -form field in D dimensions.

Our aim is to calculate the transition amplitude for the pair of a $(p-1)$ -brane and a $(q-1)$ -brane, and to investigate the form of the partition function, and then to see how the topological contributions arise. When the $(p-1)$ -brane and $(q-1)$ -brane of the composite evolve from the initial configuration P_i to the final configuration P_f , we have the transition amplitude

$$G(P_i, P_f) = \sum_{W_p(P_i, P_f) W_q(P_i, P_f)} e^{-S_p - S_q} < X_E(W_p, W_q) >, \quad (2)$$

where the sum is over all p -dimensional world volume W_p and q -dimensional world volume W_q interpolating between the initial and the final configurations P_i and P_f of the $(p-1, q-1)$ -brane composite. The purely geometrical action S_p for a $(p-1)$ -brane with a classical trajectory, parametrized by

$$x^\mu = x^\mu(\xi^0, \dots, \xi_{p-1}), \quad (3)$$

is the p -dimension world-volume induced on the trajectory by the external D dimensional metric times $(p-1)$ -brane tension T_p

$$S_p = T_p \int_{W_p} d(Vol), \quad (4)$$

where the Riemannian volume element is given in terms of the determinant of the world-volume metric $h = \det(h_{ij})$, by

$$d(Vol) = d\xi^{p-1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi^0 \sqrt{|h|}. \quad (5)$$

And $< X_E(W_p, W_q) >$ is the euclidean form of vacuum expectation value of the operator X by the minimal "Maxwell" action for Abelian p -forms

$$S_A = \int_{V_D} d^D x (*F)_{D-p-1} \wedge F_{p+1}. \quad (6)$$

The partition function is given as follows

$$Z = \sum_{W_p, W_q} e^{-S_p - S_q} < X_E(W_p, W_q) > \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} < X_E(W_p, W_q) > &= \int DA_p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(p+1)!} \int d^D x F_{p+1}^2\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(-i \int_{W_q} *F\right) \exp\left(i \int_{W_p} A_p\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where S_q is the geometrical action for a $(q-1)$ -brane.

Performing the integration over $A_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& < X_E(W_p, W_q) > = \exp \left(\int_{W_p} dx^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p} \int_{W_p} dy_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{D-2}} \right) \\
& \times \exp \left(i \int_{W_q} dx_{\mu_{p+2}\ldots\mu_D} \int_{W_p} dy^{\mu_2\ldots\mu_{p+1}} \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{p+1}\mu_{p+2}\ldots\mu_D} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{D-2}} \right) \right) \\
& \times \exp \left(\int_{W_q} dx^{\mu_{p+2}\ldots\mu_D} \int_{W_q} dy_{\mu_{p+2}\ldots\mu_D} \delta^{(D)}(x-y) \right), \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

where $G(x, y)$ is a Green's function of Laplacian operator in D euclidean dimensions and its singular structure is given as that $G(x, y) \sim |x-y|^{-(D-2)}$.

The second term in the exponetial is equal to the linking number between the p -dimensional object and the q -dimensional object in $D = p+q+1$ dimensional space-time. we can understand it from the theorem given by the intersection number[6]. If $\partial\Sigma$ and $\partial\Sigma'$ are disjoint compact and oriented p - and $(D-p-1)$ -dimensional boundaries of two oriented submanifolds of an D -dimensional oriented manifold M^D , the linking number of $\partial\Sigma$ and $\partial\Sigma'$ is able to be defined as the intersection number of Σ and $\partial\Sigma'$ or $\partial\Sigma$ and Σ' . As the dimension of (say) Σ is equal to the codimension of $\partial\Sigma'$, they will generically intersect transversally at isolated point x_i . The intersection number of Σ and $\partial\Sigma'$ is then defined as $\text{INT}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma') = \sum_i \text{sign}(x_i)$ which we also identify with the linking number $\text{LINK}(\partial\Sigma, \partial\Sigma')$ [6].

The other two terms in the exponential require a regularization. Following the regularization procedure by using "point splitting" these contribute to the renormalization of p -brane or q -brane tentsions. To do this regularization, we describe the extrinsic geometry of the p -brane. At a point ξ on the $(p+1)$ -dimensional hyper-surface, we define the tangent plane to be spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{e}_a(\xi)$, $a = 1, 2, \dots, p+1$. The vectors normal to the tangent plane are denoted by $\mathbf{n}_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, D-p-1$. For each hyper-surface W_p parametrized by $x^\mu(\xi)$, we introduce a framing surface parametrized by $y^\mu = x^\mu(\xi) + \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{n}}^\mu(\xi)$ ($\epsilon > 0$, $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\xi)|^2 = 1$), where $\hat{n}^\mu$

is a vector field normal to the hyper-surface W_p . This regularization contributes to the renormalization of the string $T_p \rightarrow T_p^R$.

In discussion, the classical action of this gauge theory is not topological, how does it give rise to the topological contributions identical to those of topological gauge theory with a Chern-Simons term (or BF term in higher dimensions)? Though the action is dynamical, the operator being considered is the composite formed from a electric $(p-1)$ -brane and a magnetic $(q-1)$ -brane to which a p -form gauge field couples in D dimensions. So, when they evolve and form a closed hyper-path, they may be mutually linked. That is, if two composites are interchanged, each composite should be covariantly transported in the p -form gauge potential of the other. Thus the topological contributions are originated from the electromagnetic dual structure of the composite source. In more mathematical details, the propagator in the topological Chern-Simons theory is given as the inverse operator of the differential operator " $\epsilon\partial$ ", that is the propagator contains the anti symmetric ϵ tensor, but the gauge invariant source term does not. On the other hand, in the present gauge theory with a kinetic term, the propagator which is the inverse of Laplacian operator does not contain ϵ tensor, while one of the current sources J and K to which the gauge field couples does it. If we write the ineration terms in details,

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &= -i \int_{W_q} (*F)_{D-p-1} + i \int_{W_p} A_p \\ &= \int d^D x (J + K)^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_p} A_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$J^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1}}(x) = \frac{-i}{(D-p-1)!} \int_{W_q} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{p+1} \mu_{p+2} \dots \mu_D} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu_1}} \delta^{(D)}(x-y) dy^{\mu_{p+2} \dots \mu_D}, \quad (11)$$

$$K^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}(x) = i \int_{W_p} \delta(x-y) dy^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore the expectation value of composite operator can give the linking number identical to that in topological BF theory.

In this theory, since the linking number is not self-linking one, in any space time dimensions these topological phases can be occurred. In higher dimensional Chern-Simons theory the possible topological contributions stemming from the self linking of the single gauge invariant operator, however, are limited only to the spacetime dimensions $D = 3, 7, 11, 15$, since Chern-Simons term can be constructed only in odd dimensions and the self-linking of the object with even dimensional structure vanish[10] [11].

In conclusions, we have shown the topological contributions from the expectation values of the composite operator $X(W_q, W_p)$ in the gauge theory with a minimal kinetic term are identical to those obtained for Wilson hypersurfaces in topological quantum field theory with a BF Chern-Simons term in D dimensions. This topological phase factors originate from the distinct ways in which the $(p - 1)$ -brane world surface may braid around the $(q - 1)$ -brane world surface when they evolve in D dimensional space-time. This result can be generalized to the non-intersecting multi p -brane hypersurfaces and the non-Abelian extension deserves further investigations. Since statistics has barely been touched for objects such as string, membrane, p -brane, it is very tempting to analyze the generalized statistics in different contexts.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Research Fund of Woosuk University.

References

- [1] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1144 (1982); **49**, 957 (1982).

- [2] R. Gambini and R. Setaro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2623 (1990).
- [3] A. M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**, 325 (1988).
- [4] X. Fuster, R. Gambini, and A. Trias, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1964 (1989).
- [5] M. Bergeron, G. W. Semenoff, and R. J. Szabo, Nucl. Phys. **B437**, 695 (1995).
- [6] M. Blau and G. Thompson, Ann. Phys. (NY) **205**, 130 (1991).
- [7] R. Gambini, Phys. Lett. **B242**, 398 (1990).
- [8] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4724 (1995); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B443**, 85 (1995).
- [9] J. J. Lee, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11**, 2787 (1996); J. J. Lee, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **35**, 509 (1999).
- [10] Y.S. Wu and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B 207, 39 (1988).
- [11] C-H. Tze and S. K. Nam, Ann. Phys. **193**, 419 (1989); C-H. Tze and S. K. Nam, Phys. Lett. **B210**, 76 (1988).